

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/071,865	CHIGA, ANTONIO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew O Savage	1723	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew O Savage.

(2) Mr. Steven Shurtz.

Status of Application: 1

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Copy of the European search report to European Patent Application s/n 02002803.1 dated 10-9-03..*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 13, and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

WO 00/03784 to Chiga, and U.S. Patent 5,795,468 to Reising et al., of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claims 1, 13, and 14 to patently distinguish over Chiga and Reising et al. See the attached examiner's reasons for allowance for details. Agreed to amend claim 14 to specify the filter outlet opening as facing upwardly to provide a point of reference of direction for the downwardly extending skirt recited in claim 19. It was agreed that all of the references cited in the European search report dated 10-9-03 had already been considered by the examiner, DE 298 12 883 U being the published parent application to WO 00/03784 to Chiga..